

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,824	FURST ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS RICHARDSON	4121	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *Pending*

(1) THOMAS RICHARDSON. (3) _____.

(2) Patrick R. Roche. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-33

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner initiated telephone interview for restriction election. Examiner proposed election of two restricted inventions of applications. Applicant's attorney elected claims 1-24, directed toward services, and to cancel claims 25-33 directed toward an authorization scheme.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Taghi T. Arani/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

